

REMARKS

Claims 21, 29, 30, 144, 145, 146, 147, as originally filed or previously presented, claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 28, 148, as amended, and new claims 149-168 are currently pending.

The new claims focus the broader claims and are supported by specification as originally filed. No new matter was added.

Rejection based on 35 U.S.C. § 112. 1st paragraph

Claims 2-13, 16-18, 21-24, 27-30, and 144-148 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112. 1st paragraph because the specification allegedly does not enable the claims. Applicants respectfully submit that in light of the above amendments to the claims, this rejection is moot. The claims are fully enabled by the specification and the examples.

Non-Statutory Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 2-13, 16-18, 21-24, 27-30, and 144-148 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting in view of the 10/160,777 application. Applicants respectfully disagree. The claims of the 10/160,777 application do not suggest the instant claims.

CONCLUSION

Allowance of the claims and passage of the case to issue are respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner believe a discussion of this matter would be helpful, she is invited to telephone the undersigned at (312) 913-2114.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 22, 2004

By: Bradley W. Crawford
Bradley W. Crawford
Reg. No. 50,494

McDonnell Boehnen
Hulbert & Berghoff
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 913-0001